Using microbial eDNA to solve the hard-bottom biomonitoring problem

Nigel Keeley (HI , Tromsø) Xavier Pochon (Cawhron Institute, New Zealand) Olivier Laroche (HI, Tromsø) Murray Birch (Marine engineer, New Zealand)



See: Keeley, NB, Laroche O, Birch M, Pochon X. Substrate Independent Benthic Sampler (SIBS) for hard & mixed-bottom habitats: a proof of concept study. Frontiers in Microbiology. In Review.

Sustainable Aquaculture project, NFR#267829, 2017-2020

Can eDNA solve 'the hardbottom problem'?





Marine sediments contain microbial communities that can be used to reliably describe benthic enrichment using metabarcoding (eDNA)



Sustainable Aquaculture project, NFR#267829, 2017-2020

Challenging mixed habitat environments

Bedrock



Boulder



Sand and mud



Broken rocks, gravel & cobbles on sediment

Gravel & cobbles, medium sand Coarse sand with stones



SIBS ('floc sampler') development



Generation 2 'Substrate Independent Benthic Sampler' (SIBS)







Analytical methods



Conventional sediment grab

<mark>'Grab-MF'</mark> Marcofauna

- Conventional, established 'gold standard' enrichment indicator
- Full taxonomy
- Species count data & indices
- Used to validate enrichment status (where possible)
- Very difficult to obtain samples. Impossible at Southern sites.

'Grab-eDNA'

Microbial eDNA

- 5g sediment from core surface
- DNAeasy PowerSoil kit



SIBS sampler

'SIBS-eDNA'

Microbial eDNA

- 500ml sample 'hoovered' up
- Contained in sterile bag
- Filtered on to 47mm GFF
- Zymo Quick DNA mini-prep kit
- V3-V4 region of 16s RNA
- Illumina MiSeq sequencing
- Amplicon purification & normalization
- Quality filtering & denoising
- Microbial ASV read abundance data

Substrate assessment categories:

- **Distance**: distance of station from farm (m)
- Base substrate type: Underlying substrate, e.g. gravel, bedrock, sand
- Mobile substrate type: type of flocculent material, e.g., sand, shell-sand, organic silt etc
- Extent of flocculant cover: extent of loose inorganic and organic layer overlying base substrate
- Visual impact category: visual assessment of organic enrichment based on expert judgement





- Microbial eDNA from SIBS read abundance of ASV's
- Difference are well described by distance from farm
- Not prefect but distance is not necessarily a good proxy for enrichment...
- Need to dig a little deeper to see what is responsible for the variances





'SIBS-eDNA'

Factors	Df	SumsOfSqs	MeanSqs	F.Model	R2	Pr(>F)	
Region	1 🖉	2.3028	2.3028	39.320	0.13261	0.001	***
log(Distance + 1)	1	4.7807	4.7807	81.630	0.27531	0.001	***
Base Subst Type	5	1.4536	0.2907	4.964	0.08371	0.001	***
Region:Farm	4	1.9172	0.4793	8.184	0.11041	0.001	***
Residuals	118	6.9107	0.0586		0.39797		
Total	129	17.3650			1.00000		

'SIBS-eDNA'

Base substrate type





- Procrust Protest correlation: Cor = 0.757, P<0.0001
- ... Grab-sourced microbial eDNA shows similar differences between samples as benthic macrofauna
- They are different things, so it is unlikely to ever be perfect











Fig. 2 Theoretical model, modified from Hilv (1984), Hilv et al. (1986) and Majeed (1987), which provides the ordination of softbottom macrofauna species into five ecological groups (Group I: species very sensitive; Group II: species indifferent; Group III: species tolerant; Group IV: second-order opportunistic species; Group V: first-order opportunistic species), according to their sensitivity to an increasing pollution gradient. The relative proportion of abundance of each group in a sample provides a discreet BI with eight levels (0-7) and an equivalent continuous BC (values between 0 and 6).

AMBI Biotic Coef. = $[(0 \times \%GI) + (1.5 \times \%GII)$ + $(3 \times \%GIII)$ + $(4.5 \times GIV)$ + $(6 \times \%GV)$] / 100

The b-MBI index

('bacterial-metabarcoding biotic index')

Sensitive species? \rightarrow EG I



Tolerant opportunistic speciés? → EG V



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ecolind

Ecological Indicators 85 (2018) 1044-105

Research paper

Development and preliminary validation of a multi-trophic metabarcoding biotic index for monitoring benthic organic enrichment

Nigel Keeley^{a,b,*}, Susanna A. Wood^{a,c}, Xavier Pochon^a

a Coastal and Freshwater Group, Cawthron Institute, Nelson, New Zealand ⁹ Institute of Marine Research, Bergen, Norway
⁶ Environmental Research Institute, University of Waikato, Hamilton, New Zealand Institute of Marine Science, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

ARTICLE INFO ABSTRAC

Aquaculture Bacteria Benthic enrichme Eukarvotes High throughput sequencing Macrofaun 18S ribosomal RNA gene

Sea-based fish farms are associated with strong, benthic enrichment gradients and routine monitoring is usual required by regulation. This has traditionally been based on benthic macrofaunal communities, which is reliant on taxonomic expertise, and turn-around times can be slow, limiting opportunities for adaptive management Environmental metabarcoding is a powerful high-throughput sequencing-based technique that can identify an quantify benthic assemblages. Previous studies have demonstrated relationships between specific taxonomis groups (e.g., bacteria, foraminifera) and anthropogenic effects. However, the absence of fixed categorical scales akes the use of data challenging for routine biomonitoring. In this study, we analysed 105 sediment sample collected over three years from three salmon farms spanning two separate bioregions. Environmental DNA and INA (eDNA/eRNA) metabareoding of three taxonomic groups (foraminifera, bacteria, and

- Only 395 bacterial ASV's •
- Derived from New Zealand sediments

'GeneCode-ID' database (EG's assigned to bacteria ASV's)

b-MBI = 0*EGI + 1.5*EGII + 3*EGII + 5*EGIV + 12*EGV



Table 4 Test for significance of (A) distance-based factors ('Farm' and 'Distance' from farm) in relation to Source of sample (SIBS versus grab) and Base substrate type, and (B) replacing Distance with b-MBI (assessed Enrichment Stage) using permutational analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) based on distance matrices from all SIBS obtained microbial eDNA samples.

Df	SumsOfSqs	MeanSqs	F.Mod	R ²	Pr(>F)	
			el			
1	0.3378	0.33780	6.043	0.03130	0.0008	***
1	2.3529	2.35285	42.088	0.21799	0.0001	***
1	1.5523	1.55228	27.767	0.14382	0.0001	***
3	0.4036	0.13453	2.406	0.03739	0.0088	**
1	0.2769	0.27688	4.953	0.02565	0.0028	**
105	5.8698	0.05590		0.54384		
112	10.7932			1.00000		
	Df 1 1 3 1 105 112	Df SumsOfSqs 1 0.3378 1 2.3529 1 1.5523 3 0.4036 1 0.2769 105 5.8698 112 10.7932	DfSumsOfSqsMeanSqs10.33780.3378012.35292.3528511.55231.5522830.40360.1345310.27690.276881055.86980.0559011210.7932	DfSumsOfSqsMeanSqsF.Mod10.33780.337806.04312.35292.3528542.08811.55231.5522827.76730.40360.134532.40610.27690.276884.9531055.86980.05590112	DfSumsOfSqsMeanSqsF.ModR2lel10.33780.337806.0430.0313012.35292.3528542.0880.2179911.55231.5522827.7670.1438230.40360.134532.4060.0373910.27690.276884.9530.025651055.86980.055901.00000	DfSumsOfSqsMeanSqsF.ModR2Pr(>F)el10.33780.337806.0430.031300.000812.35292.3528542.0880.217990.000111.55231.5522827.7670.143820.000130.40360.134532.4060.037390.008810.27690.276884.9530.025650.00281055.86980.055900.543841.00000



- Challenging steep bedrock sites
- Previously impossible to assess waste prevalence / organic enrichment
- b-MBI from SIBS provides invaluable information about waste distribution and enrichment status
- Raises interesting philosophical questions about what it actually means...?





Take home messages

- Microbial eDNA extracted from sediment layers overlying almost all marine substrates contain valuable information
- Microbial assemblages contain the same 'species' that are being used to assess benthic enrichment in conventional soft sediments
- A new device (SIBS) has been developed to sample these challenging substrates
- The few microbial ASV's for which we have assigned EcoGroupings (based on New Zealand sediments) already permit us to calculate a meaningful biotic index in Norway

 this will only get better – fast!
- The tool also has a lot of potential for mapping the distribution ('footprint') of farms irrespective of substrates – a tracer style approach



The potential is still relatively untapped (still a lot to learn)